

**RFQ 902 General Practice Emergency Response Team (GPERT) Costing & Modelling - Clarifications Part 2**

4-Mar-26

Number	Question	Answer
1	<p>What level of specification of the GPERT model will be available to the successful tenderer to inform cost modelling?</p>	<p>WAPHA has developed a comprehensive matrix of primary care support for each stage of the disaster response; prevention, preparedness, response and recovery. Where possible unit measures are provided in the matrix. Each phase has a scalable response that will ultimately allow WAPHA to work with funding bodies during times of need to tailor a response which is suitable to the scenario, region and budget.</p>
2	<p>Will the information provided include detailed, scenario-specific inputs, such as:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>workforce requirements and resourcing needs</li> <li>practice operating parameters</li> <li>mobilisation triggers and readiness requirements</li> <li>geographic considerations</li> <li>other relevant financial or operational assumptions</li> </ul> <p>across different disaster types (noting potential variation by location)?</p>	<p>The intention is for the model to be flexible enough to cater to a range of scenarios and regions. Each component of the model is flexible and scalable to meet a range of primary care needs and scenarios. A collaborative approach will be encouraged to leverage the background knowledge and expertise WAPHA has regarding requirements, assumptions and other considerations. A project officer who has extensive knowledge of the disaster landscape in the context of primary care and the model proposed will be available to the successful tenderer throughout the project.</p>
3	<p>Alternatively, is it expected that the successful tenderer will work collaboratively with the PHN to translate higher-level requirements into the detailed operational and financial inputs required to support costing across multiple disaster types and location-specific scenarios?</p>	<p>As above</p>
4	<p>Is there a preferred approach between the above options, or should respondents assume flexibility in how the scope and methodology are calibrated?</p>	<p>The respondent can assume flexibility, considering their knowledge and previous experience in this context.</p>