

RFQ 902 General Practice Emergency Response Team (GPert) Costing & Modelling - Clarifications

Number	Question	Answer
1	Could WAPHA please provide further guidance on the current level of maturity and detail within the existing recommended GPert model? In particular:	
1.1	Whether the existing recommended GPert model is quantitative or qualitative in nature.	Both, we have supporting documentation for the selected provider that will provide more context around the model.
1.2	Whether the model currently includes defined program activities and service components, or if these remain conceptual in nature.	Yes, the model includes defined program activities, which were developed through a consultation process when the overall project commenced. These proposed activities have been endorsed by the executive leadership team at WAPHA.
1.3	The extent to which cost categories, unit cost parameters, or resource assumptions have already been identified or partially quantified.	For most elements of the model this has been partially identified and we have supporting documentation available to the selected provider to provide context to each element.
1.4	Whether scenario variables (e.g., disaster scale, duration, geographic spread) have been pre-defined or are expected to be developed by the successful respondent.	Expected to be developed by the respondent, with the 28 state hazards in mind, focusing on the main hazards the regions of WA experiences. There is also a particular interest in response to mass injury events, due to recent events in Australia. As each disaster scenario is different and there is no way for us to predict what will occur in this changing space. Costing should be informed by previous disaster events and be scalable and proportionate to the areas likely to be affected, including quantification of support levels according to population size and assessed need.
1.5	WAPHA's expectation regarding the balance between refinement of existing structures versus new analytical development required to satisfy the Statement of Requirements.	The proposed approach recognises that the GPert model is an endorsed and well-defined framework with established activities across the Prevention, Preparedness, Response and Recovery continuum. WAPHA's expectation is therefore for refinement of existing structures, rather than redevelopment of the model itself. This includes clarifying scope, standardising definitions, and aligning existing cost categories and assumptions to support consistent statewide application. New analytical development is required to operationalise the model at scale. In particular, this includes developing a robust, scalable costing methodology informed by previous disaster events, with costs proportionate to the areas likely to be affected. Levels of support will be quantified according to population size, regional need, and service availability, supported by scenario-based modelling that reflects variations in disaster scale, duration, and geographic impact across Western Australia. This balanced approach ensures the existing GPert model is leveraged as the foundation, while new analysis is applied only where necessary to meet the Statement of Requirements, support value for money, and enable consistent implementation across all WA regions
2	Can WAPHA provide an indicative budget for this RFQ to guide scoping of effort, resourcing structure and methodological design, noting that costing / modelling projects can vary significantly in pricing?	Yes, we have up to \$120,000 budgeted for the completion of this work. Value for money is considered as part of the evaluation.
3	Can WAPHA please provide the expected duration of the project (noting the final report and presentation is to be delivered by 30 April 2026 at the latest)?	Approximately 4 weeks.
4	Could WAPHA please clarify whether respondents are limited to only to entering responses directly into the Aspire portal form fields, or whether respondents may upload and submit responses using their own structured templates (with cross-referencing to WAPHA's requirements where appropriate)? We would like to compile and submit a comprehensive summary document that addresses all sections and requirements of WAPHA's application form in a consolidated format.	Respondents are not limited to the text field within Aspire. Each qualitative question allows both a written response and an attachment upload. Structured templates or summary documents may be uploaded, however, attachments must be uploaded against the relevant individual question. The portal does not provide a single upload location for a consolidated full response document.